

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/637,178	08/08/2003	Norman Wayne Fleming	190251-1771	3924
24504	7590 05/04/2006		EXAMINER	
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP			KNOWLIN, THJUAN P	
100 GALLER STE 1750	IIA PARKWAY, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	GA 30339-5948		2614	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			FLEMING ET AL.			
		10/637,178				
	omec Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Thjuan P. Knowlin	2642			
Period fo		ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Au</u>	ugust 2003.				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers			•		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 August 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	-		
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 02/02/04:05/21/04.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1-4 and 8-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Crowley et al (US 6,081,590).
- 2. In regards to claims 1, 10, and 15, Crowley discloses a system, method, and apparatus for use in routing calls (See Abstract) within a telephone network (See Fig. 1 and telecommunications system 100), comprising: a service control point (See Fig. 1 and SCP 180) operative to receive a local number portability query from a switch, where the LNP query is associated with a call from a subscriber to a first service provider (See col. 1 lines 15-28 and col. 6 lines 1-16); an intelligent traffic routing and control unit (e.g. intelligent network, querying network element, and {See Fig. 1}, network management control center 102) operable to provide routing directions for the call (See col. 6 lines 1-16); where the SCP is further operative to direct the LNP query to the intelligent traffic routing and control unit when the call is a data call and not when the call is a voice call (Crowley discloses that call treatment information is used to "selectively inhibit calls for

Art Unit: 2614

identified destinations", See col. 6 lines 58-64. The call treatment information is disclosed as being used to determine how to treat a particular call and/or where to send a particular call. Therefore, the call treatment information may indicate that the call is to be sent to a data network (e.g. Internet), and not a voice network (e.g. PSTN). In col. 15 lines 31-36, Crowley further discloses that private networks, wireless networks, and data networks, may be used, instead of a PSTN).

- 3. In regards to claim 2, Crowley discloses the system, where the routing directions comprise a Local Routing Number (See col. 12 lines 24-52).
- 4. In regards to claims 3 and 11, Crowley discloses the system and method, wherein the routing directions direct the call to an access server operated by the first service provider (See col. 13 lines 39-52 and col. 14 lines 37-60).
- 5. In regards to claim 4, Crowley discloses the system, wherein the access server is chosen based on a type of service associated with the subscriber (See col. 6 lines 48-64).
- 6. In regards to claims 8, 12, and 17, Crowley discloses the system, method, and apparatus, wherein the routing directions direct the call to a trunk group connecting an access server operated by the first service provider (e.g. service provider A) and the switch (See col. 14 lines 37-60).
- 7. In regards to claim 9, Crowley discloses the system, wherein the routing directions direct the call to an access server operated by a second server provider (e.g. service provider B) (See col. 14 lines 37-60 and col. 15 lines 15-30).

Art Unit: 2614

8. In regards to claims 13 and 14, Crowley discloses the method, further comprising: evaluating resources available at the first service provider (See col. 13 lines 39-57).

- 9. In regards to claim 16, Crowley discloses the apparatus, wherein the call type is determined by comparing a called party address field in the LNP query with telephone numbers in a database (See col. 4 lines 43-54).
- 10. In regards to claim 18, Crowley discloses the apparatus, wherein the intelligent traffic routing and control unit is a service package application (See col. 14 lines 37-41 and col. 15 lines 15-18).
- 11. In regards to claim 19, Crowley discloses the apparatus, wherein the LNP processing unit (See Fig. 1 and LNP database 110) is a service package application (See col. 14 lines 37-60).
- 12. In regards to claim 20, Crowley discloses the apparatus, wherein the LNP processing unit and the intelligent traffic routing and control unit share the same subsystem number the same translation type (See col. 14 lines 37-60).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2614

13. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crowley et al (US 6,081,590).

14. In regards to claims 5, 6, and 7, Crowley discloses services provided by one or more service providers and routing based on call treatments. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the use of X2, K56Flex, and ISDN services within the system. These are merely services that can be provided to a subscriber through the use of a service provider.

Conclusion

- 15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Farris et al (US 6,504,907) teach a call detail reporting for lawful surveillance. Galloway et al (US 5,940,492) teach a global title translation in a telecommunications signalling network supporting local number portability.
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thjuan P. Knowlin whose telephone number is (571) 272-7486. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00pm.
- 17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing Chan can be reached on (571) 272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2614

18. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thjuan P. Knowlin

SENIOR PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800